CS 285 HW 4
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Caption: MPE for q1\_cheetah\_n500\_arch1x32

Chart, diagram

Description automatically generated

Caption: MPE for q1\_cheetah\_n5\_arch2x250
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Caption: MPE for q1\_cheetah\_n500\_arch2x250

**Comment:** The last setup with 2-layer, 250-size MLPs as the dynamic models perform the best (although it is close to the first setup), since the mean MPE loss is slightly smaller than that of the first setup. The superior performance may be attributed to the greater expressiveness of the larger dynamic model, and the fact that there are a much greater number of agents trained per iteration as compared to the second setup.
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Caption: The training average return (about -164) and the evaluation average return (about -43) for q2. They are all within or better than the expectation.
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Caption: The evaluation return of the obstacle env for q3. The final evaluation average return is around -26, as expected.
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Caption: The evaluation return of the reach env for q3. The final evaluation average return is about -250, as expected.
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Caption: The evaluation return of the cheetah env for q3. The final evaluation average return is about 358, as expected.
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